FOX VALLEY COURT WATCH

QUARTERLY REPORT



FIRST QUARTER REPORT JANUARY 1 TO MARCH 31, 2018

KANE/KENDALL COUNTY

Board Members;

Jim KintzPresidentDiane BrownJosephine Budilovsky1st Vice PresidentRoger PalmerSteve O'Brien2nd Vice PresidentBill Roth

Terry Apple Treasurer Norm Turner
Lorna Marquis Secretary Tom Raitt

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Fox Valley Court Watch has received information about two serious incidents resulting from the stoppage of the GPS Monitoring Program. In both of the cases the abusers, who were wearing ankle monitors, began terrorizing their victims shortly after having the monitors removed. These are the only incidents that we know about. We strongly urge that the GPS Program be restored.

We are again receiving a monthly update of domestic trials from the Kendall County states attorney's office. Hopefully, this trend will continue because, using this information, our Kendall county observers have been able to observe most of the domestic cases. Continuation dates are reported by observers, so these cases can be tracked and observed.

We continue to receive information from Kane County regarding Domestic cases.

During first quarter of 2018 a total of 91 observations were reported. (Kane County: 50 reports; Kendall County: 41 reports) Several cases were observed and reported on by multiple observers. Court Watch encourages this practice because it provides differing opinions on these cases. Observation outcomes breakdown as follows:

Kane County:

- 22 cases were continued.
- 3 cases were dismissed.
- 8 cases resulted in a guilty verdict.
- 2 cases resulted in a not guilty verdict.
- 7 OP requests were granted.
- 1 OP request was denied.
- 2 cases were referred to another courtroom.
- 5 sentencing hearings were observed

Kendall County:

- 13 cases were continued.
- 7 cases were dismissed.
- 10 cases resulted in a guilty verdict.
- 8 cases resulted in a not guilty verdict
- 1 OP request was denied.
- 2 sentencing hearings were observed.

The following pages display the scores given to the Judges observed and supporting comments. Note: Judges that were observed only one time during the quarter are not included in this report.

The attached files display the details taken from the observation reports for Kane and Kendall Counties.

						COURT		TIME
		EYE	EXPLAIN	CASE	LISTENING	PROCEED	VOICE	MANAGE
Q1-2018	AUDIBILITY	CONTACT	CHARGES	DETAILS	SKILLS	INGS	TONE	MENT
KARAYANNIS	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
KARAYANNIS	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
KARAYANNIS	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
KARAYANNIS	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4
KARAYANNIS	3	4	5	5	5	4	5	4
KARAYANNIS	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
KARAYANNIS	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
KARAYANNIS	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
KARAYANNIS	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4
KARAYANNIS	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
AVG SCORE	4.3	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.4
					\/A	4670	040	_
AUDIBILITY	4.3		JUD	GE KARA	YANNIS -	151 Q - 2	2018	
EYE CONTACT	4.5	TIME	MGT		4.4			
EXP. CHARGES	5.0		/OICE		4.4			5.0
CASE DETAILS	5.0	PROCEED					4.9	
LISTENING	5.0	LISTE	NING					5.0
PROCEEDINGS	4.9	CASE DE	TAILS					5.0
VOICE	5.0	EXP. CHA						5.0
TIME MGT	4.4	EYE CON			4.3	4.5		
		AUDII	BILITY		4.3			
Comments:			3.8	4.0	.2 4.4	4.6	1.8 5.0	5.2
Lots of attorne	y chatter, Jud	dge comme	ented.					
53 cases on doo	cket, 1 case d	ismissed, 1	l victim no	show.				
Several future	trial dates se	t.						
47 cases on doo	skat 10 trial	datec cet 1	19 cases he	ard by noo	n.			
	ket, 10 than	uates set, 1	13 00000 110					
				,				
Bailiff told gall	ery to hush s	everal time	es.					
Bailiff told gall Judge constant	ery to hush s Iy asked atto	everal time orneys to p	es.					
Bailiff told gall Judge constant Noisy doorway Judge took thre	ery to hush s ly asked atto to prisoner :	everal time erneys to pe station.	es. resent quic	ckly.				
Bailiff told gall Judge constant Noisy doorway	ery to hush s ly asked atto to prisoner s ee breaks to	everal time erneys to pe station. read notes	es. resent quid prior to se	ckly.				
Bailiff told gall Judge constant Noisy doorway Judge took thre	ery to hush s ly asked atto to prisoner s ee breaks to scheduled bu	everal time erneys to pe station. read notes et no trials	es. resent quid prior to se heard.	ckly.				
Bailiff told gall Judge constant Noisy doorway Judge took thro 3 bench trials	ery to hush s ly asked atto to prisoner s ee breaks to scheduled bu cheduled bu	everal time orneys to postation. read notes of no trials t both cont	es. resent quio prior to se heard. inued.	ckly. entencing h	nearings.	ed.		

						COURT		TIME
		EYE	EXPLAIN	CASE	LISTENING	PROCEED	VOICE	MANAGE
1ST Q - 2018	AUDIBILITY	CONTACT	CHARGES	DETAILS	SKILLS	INGS	TONE	MENT
Dalton	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5
Dalton	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Dalton	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Dalton	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Dalton	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Dalton	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Dalton	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
Average	4.6	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9
			JUD	GE DALI	ΓON - 1ST	- 2018		
AUDIBILITY	4.6							
EYE CONTAC	5.0	TIME				4.9		
EXP. CHARGE			OICE				5.0	
CASE DETAIL		PROCEED LISTEI					5.0	
LISTENING	5.0	CASE DE				4.9	5.0	
PROCEEDING	5.0	EXP. CHA				7.5	5.0	
VOICE	5.0	EYE CON	ТАСТ				5.0	
TIME MGT	4.9	AUDIB	ILITY		4.6			
			4.4	4.5 4.6	4.7 4.	8 4.9	5.0 5.	1
COMMENTS:								
10 DB cases of	on docket, 3	OP cases.						
Several case	s continued	for no-sho	ws and cle	rical issue:	S.			
Judge joked	with court n	nembers.						
14 Waubons	ee Hispanic	Law class s	tudents ob	served.				
25 cases on c	docket, 4 OP	requests g	ranted, 12	were OP s	status hearir	igs.		
5 no show ca	ses resulted	d in alias su	mmons be	ing issued	l, 3 cases we	re settled.		
19 cases on c	locket, 9 we	re OP requ	ests, 4 gra	nted, 3 dis	missed due	to petition	er reques	st.
Judge and Cl	erk reminde	ed attorney	s and me t	hat today	the E-file sy	stem begir	ıs.	
Judge remai	ned calm, ev	ven when h	ne was inte	errupted.				
21 cases on c	docket, 3 OP	cases, 18 s	tatus hear	ings. 5 case	es withdraw	n, 3 cases o	ontinued	,
3 cases dism	issed due to	no shows,	7 OP petit	ions grant	ed.			
		ere OPs // (OPs grante	d, 3 cases o	dismissed, a	nd 6 cases	continue	d.
15 cases sch	eduled, 6 w	CIC OI 3, T						
15 cases sch Judge often			k up, court	room nois	y due to clei	rk tearing p	apers.	
	asked litigar	nts to spea	• •		•	rk tearing p	apers.	

1ST Q - 2018	AUDIBILITY	EYE CONTACT	EXPLAIN CHARGES	CASE DETAILS	LISTENING SKILLS	COURT PROCEED INGS	VOICE TONE	TIME MANAGE MENT
TEGELER	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5
TEGELER	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3
TEGELER	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
						-		
AVG SCORE	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.0
							_	
AUDIBILITY	4.7		JU[OGE TEG	ELER - 1S	ΓQ - 2018	8	
EYE CONTACT	4.7				1 1	ı	1	
EXP. CHARGES	4.7	TIME			4.0			
CASE DETAILS	4.7	PROCEEDI	OICE			4.3		4.7
LISTENING	4.7	LISTEN						4.7
PROCEEDINGS	4.7	CASE DET						4.7
VOICE	4.3	EXP. CHAR						4.7
TIME MGT	4.0	EYE CONT						4.7
		AUDIB	ILITY					4.7
COMMENTS:			3.6	3.8	4.0 4.2	4.4	4.6	4.8
ludgo bas gaad	time mana	oment rea	no otful to	o oritical	of both north	nte proise	d +000 0	70.500
Judge has good Judge and ASA				ie, criticai	oi botii pare	ints, praise	u teen-a	ge son.
Judge was frust				ionally go	t sarcastic w	ith them		
_	•		-		t Janeastic W	itii tiitiii.		
Defense attorn	cy iviotta silo	יייכט ווננופ	respect for	arryone.				
Defense attorn	ey Motta sho	wed little	respect for	anyone.				

1ST Q - 2018	AUDIBILITY	EYE CONTACT	EXPLAIN CHARGES	CASE DETAILS	LISTENING SKILLS	COURT PROCEED INGS	VOICE TONE	TIME MANAGE MENT
Tarter	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4
Tarter	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3
AVE SCORE	4.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.5	3.5
			JU	DGE TRA	ATER - 1ST	Q - 2018	3	
AUDIBILITY	4.5			1				
EYE CONTACT	4.0	TIME	OICE			3	3.5	4.5
EXP. CHARGES	4.0	PROCEED					4.0	4.5
CASE DETAILS	3.5	LISTEI					4.0	
LISTENING	4.0	CASE DE				3	3.5	
PROCEEDINGS	4.0	EXP. CHA	RGES				4.0	
VOICE	4.5	EYE CON	TACT				4.0	
TIME MGT	3.5	AUDIB	SILITY					4.5
COMMENTS:			0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
Courtroom was	more like a	zoo than a	court of la	IW.				
Judge took ber	nch at 8:30 ar	nd called a	30 minute	recess for	attorneys to	prepare,		
AV equipment	was not set	up until 9:0	05, then de	fendant v	vaived right	to jury trial	,	
bench trial was	delayed to	get officer	s to court.					

1st Q -2018	AUDIBILITY	EYE CONTACT	EXPLAIN CHARGES	CASE DETAILS	LISTENING SKILLS	COURT PROCEED INGS	VOICE TONE	TIME MANAGE MENT
McAdams	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	3
VIcAdams	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	4	5	5	5	5	3	5	5
			-	-	-	-		
McAdams	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4
McAdams	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
McAdams	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
AVG SCORE	4.5	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.9
AUDIBILITY	4.5		JUI	DGE MC	ADAMS-1	LST Q - 20	018	
EYE CONTACT	4.9							
EXP. CHARGES	5.0							
CASE DETAILS	4.9	TIM	E MGT				4.9	
LISTENING	4.9		VOICE					
		DDOCEE	DINGS				4.0	5.0
		PROCEE					4.9	5.0
PROCEEDINGS	4.9	LIST	ENING				4.9	5.0
PROCEEDINGS VOICE	4.9 5.0		ENING ETAILS					5.0
PROCEEDINGS VOICE TIME MGT	4.9	LIST CASE D	ENING ETAILS ARGES				4.9	
PROCEEDINGS VOICE	4.9 5.0	CASE D EXP. CH EYE CO	ENING ETAILS ARGES	4.3 4.4	4.5	4.7 4.8	4.9	
PROCEEDINGS VOICE TIME MGT COMMENTS: Difficult to hea	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talki	LIST CASE D EXP. CH EYE CO AUD	ENING ETAILS ARGES NTACT IBILITY 4.2			4.7 4.8	4.9	5.0
PROCEEDINGS VOICE TIME MGT COMMENTS: Difficult to hea	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talki	CASE D EXP. CH EYE CO AUD	ENING ETAILS ARGES NTACT IBILITY 4.2			4.7 4.8	4.9	5.0
PROCEEDINGS VOICE FIME MGT COMMENTS: Difficult to heal was able to he and the source of the source o	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talki ear judge. d Chris were	CASE D EXP. CH EYE CO AUD ng on both	ENING ETAILS ARGES NTACT IBILITY 4.2 sides of ra	ail.		4.7 4.8	4.9	5.0
PROCEEDINGS /OICE TIME MGT COMMENTS: Difficult to hea was able to he Both Lauren an udge was polit	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talki ear judge. d Chris were te and explai	CASE D EXP. CH EYE CO AUD ng on both difficult to	ENING ETAILS ARGES NTACT IBILITY 4.2 sides of ra	ail.		4.7 4.8	4.9	5.0
PROCEEDINGS /OICE TIME MGT COMMENTS: Difficult to hea was able to he Both Lauren an udge was polit	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talki ear judge. d Chris were te and explai	CASE D EXP. CH EYE CO AUD ng on both difficult to	ENING ETAILS ARGES NTACT IBILITY 4.2 sides of ra	ail.		4.7 4.8	4.9	5.0
PROCEEDINGS PROCEE	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talkitear judge. d Chris were te and explait	CASE D EXP. CH EYE CO AUD ng on both difficult to ned his rul lt to hear.	etails ARGES NTACT BILLITY 4.2 sides of ra	ail. jections.	4.5 4.6	4.7 4.8	4.9	5.0
PROCEEDINGS /OICE TIME MGT COMMENTS: Difficult to hea was able to he do he	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talkier judge. d Chris were te and explait were difficut papers and	EXP. CH EYE CO AUD ng on both difficult to ned his rul lt to hear. not being	ening ARGES NTACT BILITY 4.2 sides of ra o hear. ings on ob	ail. jections.	4.5 4.6	4.7 4.8	4.9	5.0
PROCEEDINGS /OICE TIME MGT COMMENTS: Difficult to hea was able to he and the common term of the common t	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talkiear judge. d Chris were te and explait were difficult f papers and to hear, need	CASE D EXP. CH EYE CO AUD and on both difficult to ned his rul lt to hear. not being lis to speak	ening etalls arges ntact ings on ob orepared d up.	il. jections. elayed pro	4.5 4.6		4.9 4.9 4.9 4.9	5.0
PROCEEDINGS /OICE TIME MGT COMMENTS: Difficult to hea was able to he and the second and the	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talkitear judge. d Chris were te and explait were difficult papers and to hear, need oth attorneys	case D EXP. CH EYE CO AUD and on both difficult to ned his rul lt to hear. not being ls to speak was poor.	ening ARGES NTACT BILITY 4.2 sides of ra b hear. ings on ob prepared d up. Need to h	jections. elayed pro	4.5 4.6 oceedings. ohones at b	ench for at	4.9 4.9 4.9 5 4.9 5	5.0
PROCEEDINGS /OICE TIME MGT COMMENTS: Difficult to hea was able to he Both Lauren an udge was polition attorneys ASA shuffing of ASA very hard to delibility of both ery difficult to the control of t	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talkitear judge. d Chris were te and explait were difficute for hear, need to the attorneys of hear	respectively. It is to speak is was poor.	ering ARGES NTACT BILITY 4.2 sides of ra o hear. ings on ob orepared d up. Need to h dge. Need	jections. elayed pro ave micropho	4.5 4.6 oceedings. ohones at b	ench for at	4.9 4.9 4.9 5 4.9 5	5.0
PROCEEDINGS /OICE TIME MGT COMMENTS: Difficult to hea was able to he Both Lauren an udge was polit Both attorneys ASA shuffing of ASA very hard to Audibility of bot /ery difficult to Not sure why next	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talkitear judge. d Chris were te and explait were difficult fpapers and to hear, need to hear attorneys to hear attornenticrophones	registrate of tables and tables a case of tables a case o	sides of rational street of the street of th	jections. elayed pro ave microphoralic.	oceedings. phones at bench	ench for at n for attorn	4.9 4.9 4.9 5 4.9 5	5.0
PROCEEDINGS /OICE TIME MGT COMMENTS: Difficult to hea was able to he soth Lauren an udge was polition attorneys ASA shuffing of ASA very hard to he shudibility of body ery difficult to hot sure why mother cannot he	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talkiter judge. d Chris were te and explait were difficult papers and to hear, need to hear attorneys ear terms of	ng on both difficult to ned his rul lt to hear. not being ls to speak was poor. eys and Ju at tables a plea agree	sides of rational states of rati	jections. elayed pro ave microphoralic.	oceedings. phones at bench	ench for at n for attorn	4.9 4.9 4.9 5 4.9 5	5.0
PROCEEDINGS PROCEE	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talking ar judge. d Chris were the and explain were difficult for papers and to hear, need to hear attorneys to hear	ng on both difficult to ned his rul lt to hear. not being ls to speak was poor. eys and Ju- at tables a plea agree c defender	sides of rational states of rati	jections. elayed pro ave microphor d. n presente	oceedings. phones at benches at benched to Judge.	ench for at n for attorn	4.9 4.9 4.9 5 torneys.	5.0
PROCEEDINGS FOICE TIME MGT COMMENTS: Difficult to hea was able to he both Lauren an udge was polit both attorneys ASA shuffling of ASA very hard t audibility of bot yery difficult to lot sure why n often cannot h	4.9 5.0 4.9 r today, talkiter judge. d Chris were the and explain were difficulted to hear, need to hear attorneys to he	ng on both difficult to ned his rul lt to hear. not being ls to speak was poor. eys and Ju at tables a plea agree c defender t answerin	sides of rational states of rati	pections. elayed pro ave microphora d. n presente	oceedings. phones at benched to Judge. roup of stud	ench for at n for attorn	4.9 4.9 4.9 5 torneys.	5.0

						COURT		
		EYE	EXPLAIN	CASE	LISTENING	PROCEEDIN	VOICE	
1ST Q - 2018	AUDIBILITY	CONTACT	CHARGES	DETAILS	SKILLS	GS	TONE	TIME MGT
VOILAND	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
VOILAND	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
VOILAND	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
VOILAND	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
AVG SCORE	3.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
AUDIBILITY	3.8		J	UDGE V	OILAND-	1ST Q- 2	018	
AUDIBILITY EYE CONTACT	3.8 5.0				OILAND-	1ST Q- 2	018	
	5.0		TIM	E MGT.	OILAND-	1ST Q- 2	018	5.0
EYE CONTACT	5.0		TIM VOIC	E TONE	OILAND-	1ST Q- 2	018	5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES	5.0 5.0	4 +	TIM VOIC ct. proce	E TONE EDINGS	OILAND-	1ST Q- 2	018	5.0 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS	5.0 5.0 5.0	}	TIM VOIC CT. PROCE	E TONE EDINGS ESKILLS	OILAND-	1ST Q- 2	018	5.0 5.0 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS CT. PROCEEDINGS	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0		TIM VOIC CT. PROCE	E TONE EDINGS G SKILLS DETAILS	OILAND-	1ST Q- 2	018	5.0 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	}	TIM VOIC CT. PROCE LISTENING CASE C	E TONE EDINGS G SKILLS DETAILS	OILAND-	1ST Q- 2	018	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS CT. PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	}	TIM VOIC CT. PROCE LISTENING CASE I EXPLAIN CH	E MGT. E TONE EDINGS G SKILLS DETAILS HARGES	OILAND-	1ST Q- 2	018	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS CT. PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	}	TIM VOIC CT. PROCE LISTENING CASE I EXPLAIN CH	E MGT. E TONE EDINGS G SKILLS DETAILS HARGES DNTACT		1ST Q- 2		5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS CT. PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	}	TIM VOIC CT. PROCE LISTENING CASE I EXPLAIN CH	E MGT. E TONE EDINGS G SKILLS DETAILS HARGES DINTACT DIBILITY			3.8	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0

Judge very kind to everyone on this case, when attorneys objected in calmly explained his rulings.

Judge spoke very softly today, I was not able to hear most of the time. Needed to ask questions after.

1ST Q - 2018	AUDIBILITY	EYE CONTACT	EXPLAIN CHARGES	CASE DETAILS	LISTENING SKILLS	COURT PROCEED INGS	VOICE TONE	TIME MANAGE MENT
Krentz	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5
Krentz	3	5	5	4	5	5	5	5
AVE SCORE	3.5	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
			JUDG	E KRENT	ΓZ - 1ST Q	- 2018		
AUDIBILITY	3.5			ı	1 1			
EYE CONTACT	5.0		MGT /OICE				5.0	
EXP. CHARGES	5.0	PROCEED					5.0	
CASE DETAILS	4.0		NING				5.0	
LISTENING	5.0	CASE DE				4.0	3.0	
PROCEEDINGS	5.0	EXP. CHA	RGES				5.0	
VOICE	5.0	EYE CON	ITACT				5.0	
TIME MGT	5.0	AUDIE	BILITY			3.5		
			0.0	1.0 2	.0 3.0	4.0 5.	0 6.0	
COMMENTS;								
Lots of commot	ion in courtr	oom, attor	neys const	antly walk	king in and o	ut and talk	ing to eac	h other.
Very difficult to								
Judge took ben	ch at 1:15. Di	d not knov	w ASA's cor	ntinuance	motion was	the first fo	r this case	